

Evaluation of Low Dose Dexmedetomidine as an Adjuvant to Bupivacaine 0.25% in Supraclavicular Brachial Plexus Blocks

Shubhada A. Patil¹, Madhuri P. Loniker¹

¹Associate Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, Indian Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Warudi, Badnapur, Dist. Jalna, Maharashtra 431202, India.

Abstract

Context: The assessment of the efficacy of low dose (30 micrograms) of dexmedetomidine added to 0.25% bupivacaine was studied for the prolongation of the duration of sensory and motor block in supraclavicular brachial plexus block was the aim of our study. **Methods:** This was a prospective double blind randomized study conducted on sixty patients posted for upper limb surgeries between the age group of 18 to 60 years, the patients were randomly allocated to two groups of 30 each. The control group (C) received 37 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine with 3 ml of normal saline; while the study group (S) received 37 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine with 3 ml of normal saline containing 30 micrograms of dexmedetomidine. Assessment of sensory and motor block was done with the monitoring of heart rate, blood pressure and peripheral oxygen saturation throughout the procedure and postoperatively till the waning of block effect. The duration of analgesia and occurrence of various side effects was noted. **Results:** It was observed that the onset time for sensory and motor block was similar in both the groups. The duration of sensory and motor block and post operative analgesia was longer in the study group and was statistically significant. Adverse effects like bradycardia, hypotension, nausea and vomiting were insignificant, occurred in one or two cases. **Conclusion:** Dexmedetomidine in the doses of 30 µg added to bupivacaine as an adjuvant prolongs the duration of sensory and motor block with no significant side effects.

Keywords: Dexmedetomidine; 0.25% Bupivacaine; Supraclavicular Brachial Plexus Block.

Introduction

Regional anesthesia is widely used technique in recent years, as it provides many advantages over general anesthesia like reduced side effects, excellent pain control and shortened stay in post-anesthesia care unit. However, the advantages are of short duration with currently available local anesthetic agents.

Brachial plexus block is being used increasingly for surgery of the hand, forearm, elbow and distal humerus: which avoids the side effects of general anesthesia including laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. Bupivacaine is the most commonly

administered drug in the brachial plexus block. Delayed onset time is the limiting factor, as compared to the onset time of lignocaine, and potentially resulting in block resolution before the period of the worst post operative pain is over. Increasing the volume/dose of local anesthetic agent can prolong the duration of analgesia, but may increase the risk of systemic toxicity [1]. Using continuous block techniques by placement of peripheral catheter requires additional time and skill, also increases the cost of anesthesia.

A number of adjuvants are used to prolong the duration of peripheral nerve blocks, such as Buprenorphine, Dexamethasone, Midazolam, Clonidine, Opiates, Neostigmine (anticholinesterase

Corresponding Author: Madhuri P. Loniker, Associate Professor, Dept. of Anesthesiology, Indian Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Warudi, Badnapur, Dist. Jalna, Maharashtra 431202.
E-mail: madhuriloniker@gmail.com

Received on 21.07.2017, Accepted on 04.08.2017

)and Verapamil (calcium channel blocker) [2,3 & 4]. Use of Clonidine, a partial α_2 adrenoreceptor agonist has been reported to be safe and beneficial in prolonging the duration of anesthesia and analgesia.

Dexmedetomidine is an α_2 adrenoreceptor agonist. Its α_2/α_1 selectivity is eight times more than Clonidine. Dexmedetomidine delays absorption of local anesthetic agent by inducing vasoconstriction in the forearm [5] and thus prolonging the duration of anesthesia. It has been reported to improve the quality of intrathecal and epidural anesthesia [6]. However; the reports of use of Dexmedetomidine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block are limited.

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out at JIU's Indian Institute of Medical Science & Research Warudi (Jalna, Maharashtra) during the period of August 2016 to May 2017, after obtaining the approval from the institutional ethical committee. This was a prospective, randomized, double blind controlled study.

Sixty adult patients between the age group of 18 to 60 years of either sex, weighing 40 to 60 kgs with ASA physical status I & II scheduled for upper limb surgeries were included in the study. Patients having evidence of contraindication to brachial plexus block like convulsive disorders, bleeding abnormalities, pregnancy, neurological deficit, uncontrolled diabetes Mellitus, patients taking adrenoreceptor agonist or antagonist drugs and patients having hypersensitivity to local anesthetic agents were excluded from the study. Patients with morbid obesity and significant pulmonary, hepatic or cardio-vascular disease were also excluded from the study.

The patients were randomly divided into two groups, C-control group (n=30) and S-study group (n=30). The control group received 37 ml of 0.25% Bupivacaine with 3 ml of Normal Saline: while the study group received 37 ml of 0.25% Bupivacaine with 30 micrograms of Dexmedetomidine diluted in normal saline to make 3 ml of the total volume.

After proper pre-anesthetic check-up and routine investigations, patient was taken in the operation theatre; intravenous line was secured and monitoring started. Baseline Heart Rate (HR), Non invasive Blood Pressure (NIBP), Peripheral Oxygen Saturation (SPO₂) and Respiratory Rate (RR) were recorded.

After proper patient positioning, the area of the block to be performed was prepared by painting

with Povidone Iodine and spirit and covered with drapes. About 2 cms above the mid-clavicular point just lateral to subclavian artery pulsations, a 22 Gauge short beveled needle was introduced in a posterior, caudal and medial direction. After obtaining parasthesia in the forearm, 40 ml of the solution from a coded syringe (contents unknown to the performer) was injected slowly with frequent negative aspiration test for blood to avoid accidental intravascular injection. In case where parasthesia could not be elicited, the solution was injected after the first rib was hit. Patients with inadequate block were dropped from the study.

Sensory and motor block was evaluated every five minutes up to first thirty minutes of injection and then every thirty minutes even after surgery was complete till the block was resolved.

The Heart Rate (HR) Non Invasive blood pressure (NIBP) and Peripheral Oxygen Saturation (SpO₂) were the parameters recorded at every five minutes for the first thirty minutes, and thereafter at every ten minutes until the end of surgery. Post-operatively, the motor and sensory block and the vital parameters were recorded every half hourly. Data collection was done by the same anesthesiologist who was unaware of the group allocation.

A. The sensory block assessment was carried out as follows

Sensory Block Assessment (Three Point Scale)

Normal sensation	0
Loss of pinprick sensation	1
Loss of touch sensation	2

B. The Motor Block Assessment was Carried out as Follows

Motor Block Assessment (Bromage 3 Point Scale)

Normal motor function with full flexion & extension in all joints	1
Decreased motor strength Ability to move fingers only	2
Complete motor block Inability to move fingers	3

C. Visual Analog Scale

Post operative pain was assessed by Visual Analog Scale (VAS) from

0 - no pain to 10-worst pain.

D. The level of sedation was assessed with Modified Ramsey sedation score.

Modified Ramsey Sedation Score

Sedation Score	Clinical Response
0	Paralyzed, unable to Evaluate
1	Awake
2	Lightly sedated
3	Moderately sedated ,follows simple commands
4	Deeply sedated, responds to non painful stimuli
5	Deeply sedated, responds only to painful stimuli
6	Deeply sedated, unresponsive to painful stimuli

The end point of monitoring was the time for rescue analgesic dose request by the patient at VAS 4.

Results

The parameters were noted as, the time for onset of sensory block, onset of motor block, duration of sensory and motor block, duration of analgesia, sedation score and untoward side effects.

There was no significant difference in the demographic data between the two groups.

Onset of sensory block-The mean onset time for sensory block was 18.8±3.0 minutes in study group (S) and 19.5±2.6 minutes in control group (C), the difference being statistically insignificant (p value 0.3382).

Onset of motor block-The mean onset time of motor block was 15.8±5.4 minutes in group S, and 16.5±4.7 minutes in group C. However, this difference was not statistically significant (P value 0.5943).

Duration of sensory block -The mean duration of sensory block was noted as 490.35±36.60 minutes (S group) and 175.38±26.50 minutes (C group); which was statistically highly significant (p value 0.0001).

Duration of motor block-The values group observed were 460.39±64.68 minutes (S group) and 160.18±40.20 Minutes (C). The difference in the observations was highly significant (P value 0.0001).

Duration of analgesia-The mean duration of analgesia was 510.67± 58.40 minutes (S group) and 175.50±25.13 minutes (C group), the difference observed was statistically highly significant (p value 0.0001).

In the Control group, where dexmedetomidine was not used, the sedation scores were observed as 1 (24 cases) & 2 (6 cases); whereas in the Study group, the sedation scores were 1 (2 cases), 2 (14 cases), 3 (9 cases) & 4 (5 cases). The difference in the observations was highly significant for score 1 (p value 0.0000), for score 3 (p value 0.0011) & for score 4 (p value 0.0192); whereas, the difference was

Table 1: Comparison of demographic data

Variable	Control group	Study group	P value
Age (years)	40.8± 10.2	40.2±10.4	0.8223 NS
Weight (kgs)	61.72	62.70	0.7443 NS
Sex (M/F ratio)	21/9	22/8	0.7744 NS
ASA Grade (I/II)	25/5	26/4	0.7176 NS

(S-significant, NS-not significant)

Table 2: Comparison of time profiles

Baseline Characteristics	Control Group (minutes)	Study Group (minutes)	p-Value
Onset of sensory block	19.5±2.6	18.8±3.0	0.3382 NS
Onset of motor block	16.5±4.7	15.8±5.4	0.5943 NS
Duration of sensory block	175.38±26.50	490.35±36.60	0.0001 HS
Duration of motor block	160.18±40.20	460.39±64.68	0.0001 HS
Duration of Analgesia	175.50±25.13	510.67±58.40	0.0001 HS

Table 3: Comparison of Sedation Scores

Sedation Score	Control group (n=30)	Study group (n=30)	P value
0	—	—	-
1	24	2	0.0000 HS
2	6	14	0.0285 S
3	0	9	0.0011 HS
4	0	5	0.0192 HS
5	—	—	-
6	—	—	-

significant for score 2 (p value 0.0285). The level of sedation was never alarming; neither any need for artificial ventilation appeared. Instead, the patients who received dexmedetomidine were calm and quiet, with stable pulse rate and blood pressure, not requiring any additional sedation. Adverse effects-Effects like hypotension, bradycardia, nausea & vomiting were not observed in our study.

Discussion

Supraclavicular brachial plexus block is gaining popularity for upper limb surgeries and is one of the most commonly used nerve blocks. Its effectiveness in terms of cost, performance, margins of safety are the reasons behind, providing good post operative analgesia as well.

Bupivacaine alone provides analgesia for 4 to 6 hours. Various adjuvants like Clonidine, Tramadol and opiates have been used along with bupivacaine so as to improve perioperative analgesia, while minimizing systemic side effects [2,3,4,&7]. Yashitomi et al [8] demonstrated that dexmedetomidine as well as clonidine enhances the action of local anesthesia via peripheral adrenoception. Studies have shown that clonidine prolongs the duration in brachial plexus block [9], but was associated with bradycardia, hypotension and respiratory depression as side effects.

Masaki et al [5] suggested that dexmedetomidine induced vasoconstriction via α_2 adrenoceptor action in humans possibly, also discussing vasoconstriction around the site of injection, delaying the absorption of local anesthetic agent and thus prolonging its duration of action. Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective and specific α_2 agonist drug with α_2/α_1 selectivity ratio 1620:1 as compared to 220:1 for clonidine, thus reducing the unwanted side effects of α_1 action. Hence dexmedetomidine was preferred over clonidine in this study. Transient hypotensive response with doses 1-4 micrograms/kg is attributed to stimulation of α_2 β subtype of receptor in vascular smooth muscle. Bradycardia is a reflex as a result of this action; and it is persistent subsequently because of central sympathetic system inhibition.

The baroreceptor reflex and the heart rate response to pressor agent was well preserved with the use of dexmedetomidine. Thus hypotension and bradycardia were easily treatable. Being highly selective for α_2 receptors, dexmedetomidine has analgesic, anxiolytic and sedative properties; which encourages its use in Intensive Care Unit, as an

operative sedation, and as an adjuvant to local anesthetic agents in spinal, epidural and caudal epidural blocks [11].

The volume of local anesthetic agent was taken as 40 ml because this volume was associated with a more complete spread in brachial plexus block [1].

Intrathecal dexmedetomidine in combination with bupivacaine has been studied in human beings without any post operative neurological deficit. So, a dose of 30 micrograms of dexmedetomidine was selected and 0.25% of bupivacaine was used to minimize total dose of the drug to avoid local anesthetic toxicity.

As the previous studies have reported higher incidence of side effects, mainly bradycardia and hypotension with higher doses (ie 100 micrograms) of dexmedetomidine [12].

The mean onset time for sensory block was 18.8 minutes in study group (S) and 19.5 minutes in control group (C) (approx.). In the study conducted by Rachana Gandhi et al [13], the onset of sensory block in plain bupivacaine group was significantly faster (18.4 minutes) as compared to the study group, ie bupivacaine with dexmedetomidine; similar to our observations. Esmagolu et al [12] have used 100 μ g of dexmedetomidine which would explain faster onset of sensory block (9.03 minutes) in their study.

The mean onset time of motor block was 15.8 minutes in S group, and 16.5 minutes in group C (approx.). However, this difference was not statistically significant. The mean duration of motor block was 460 minutes in study group and 160 minutes in control group (approx.).

Esmagolu et al [12] and Agrawal et al [14] observed this duration of motor block as 773 minutes and 702 minutes respectively. The prolongation can be attributed to the higher doses of bupivacaine and dexmedetomidine they used. The mean duration of analgesia was observed as 510 minutes in group S and 175 minutes in the group C (approx.).

Esmagolu et al [12] observed the duration of analgesia as 1008.09 minutes; which can be explained on the basis of larger doses of Laevobupivacaine and dexmedetomidine (100 μ g). Also, the incidence of bradycardia and hypotension was higher in above study and other studies using 100 μ g of dexmedetomidine. There was statistically significant difference in the level of sedation in both the groups (Table 3), which is similar to those observed by Rachana Gandhi et al [13].

Conclusion

Dexmedetomidine is a useful drug to combine with bupivacaine to use in brachial plexus block as the onset of sensory and motor block is faster as compared to Plain bupivacaine, but not significant. The duration of sensory and motor block is prolonged with addition of dexmedetomidine. The duration of analgesia is also prolonged which extends postoperatively, minimizing the complications associated with pain. The sedation scores and incidence of bradycardia and hypotension could be kept low when small doses of dexmedetomidine are used.

Acknowledgements

We express our deep sensation of gratitude to the management, JIU trust and Dr. Amarnath B. Solepure, Dean, IIMSR Medical College, Badnapur, Jalna, Maharashtra. We also deeply acknowledge the help and support of Dr. Sudhir Bhope, Professor & Head, Dept. of Anesthesiology and Dr. A.M. Khadri, Medical Superintendent, Noor Hospital, Badnapur and our patients.

References

1. Winnie AP, Radionjic R, Akkineni SR et al. Factors influencing distribution of local anesthetic injected into the brachial plexus sheath. *Anesthesia Analgesia* 1979;58:225-34.
2. Lalla RL, Anant S, Nanda HS. Verapamil as an adjunct to Local Anesthetic for Brachial Plexus Block. *MJAFI* 2010;66:22-24.
3. Bone HG, Van Aken H, Booke M, Burkle H. Enhancement of axillary brachial plexus block anesthesia by co-administration of neostigmine. *Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine* 1999;24(5):405-410.
4. Culebras X, Van Gessel E, Hoffmeyer P, Gamulin Z. Clonidine combined with a long acting local anesthetic does not prolong post operative analgesia after brachial plexus block but does induce hemodynamic changes. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 2001;92(1):199-204.
5. Masuki S, Dinanno FA, Joyner MJ, Eisenach JH. Selective α_2 adrenergic properties of dexmedetomidine over clonidine in the human forearm. *J Appl Physiol* 2005;99:587-92.
6. Kanazi GE, Aovad MT, Jabbour-Khourey SI et al. Effect of low dose dexmedetomidine or clonidine on characteristics of bupivacaine spinal block. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2006;50:222-227.
7. Wakhlo R, Gupta P, Raina A, Gupta SD, Lahori VU. Supraclavicular plexus block: Effect of adding tramadol or butorphanol as an adjuvant to local anesthetic on motor and sensory block and duration of post operative analgesia. *Journal of Anesthesiology & Clinical Pharmacology*. 2009;25(1):17.
8. Yoshitomi T, Kohjitani A, Maeda S, Higuchi H, Shimada M, Miyawaki T. Dexmedetomidine enhances the local anesthetic action of lidocaine via an α_2 adrenoreceptor. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 2008;107(1):96-101.
9. Winnie AP, Collins V J. The subclavian perivascular technique of brachial plexus anesthesia. *Anaesthesiology* 1964;25:353.
10. Urmev W F. Upper extremity blocks in regional anesthesia. *Anesthesia Analgesia Philadelphia* 1996;254-278.
11. Fischer H B J. Brachial plexus anesthesia, in Principles & practice of regional anesthesia Wildsmith JAW, Armitage EN, McClure JH. 3rd Edition, Churchill Livingstone 2009;25(1):17.
12. Aliya E, Smagolu, Fusun Yegenoglu, Ayanur Akin & Cemil Yildirim Turk. Dexmedetomidine added to Laevobupivacaine prolongs axillary brachial plexus block *Anesthesia Analgesia* 2010;10:1-4.
13. Rachana Gandhi, Alka Shah & Ila Patel. Use of dexmedetomidine along with bupivacaine for brachial plexus block. *National Journal of Medical Research* 2012;2:67-69.
14. Agarwal S, Agarwal R, Gupta P. Dexmedetomidine prolongs the effect of bupivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block. *J Anesthesiol Clin Pharmacol* 2014;30:36-40.
15. Coskuner I, Tekin M, Kati L, Yagmur C, Elcicek K. Effects of dexmedetomidine on the duration of anesthesia and wakefulness in bupivacaine epidural block. *European J of Anesthesiology* 2007;24(6):535-40.